COPY OF TRANSCRIPT

INDIANA GAMING COMMISSION BUSINESS MEETING JANUARY 10, 2003

The Business meeting of the Indiana Gaming

Commission was taken down by me, Peggy Morgan, a Notary

Public in and for the County of Hendricks, State of

Indiana, at the offices of the Indiana Gaming

Commission, 115 West Washington Street, Suite 950,

Indianapolis, Marion County, Indiana, on the 10th day

of January, 2003.

(317) 231-9004



FAX (317) 231-1950

www.BaynesandShirey.com



1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:
4	Glenn R. Lawrence, Executive Director
5	Chairman: Donald R. Vowels
6	Marya Rose
7	Dale Gettelfinger
8	Thomas Milcarek
9	Cynthia L. Dean
10	Billy Hamilton
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	GAMING COMMISSION BUSINESS MEETING
2	JANUARY 10, 2003
3	MR. VOWELS: We will go ahead and
4	call the meeting to order. We will show
5	that we do have a quorum.
6	The record will reflect that we have
7	all the necessary commissioners here for the
8	quorum, so we will call the meeting to
9	order. And we will note that we are exactly
0	on time. That never happened when Mr. Thar
1	was here. It's 10:00 local time.
2	The first matter on the agenda then
13	is the approval of the minutes from our last
14	meeting of November 15th, 2002. We've all
15	had an opportunity to review these minutes.
16	Is there a motion in reference to those
17	minutes?
18	MR. GETTELFINGER: So moved.
19	MR. VOWELS: Is there a second?
20	MR. MILCAREK: Second.
21	
	MR. VOWELS: Any further discussion.
22	All those if favor say aye.
23	COMMISSION: Aye.
24	MR. VOWELS: Minutes are approved.
25	The next matter on the agenda is a



report of the executive director. Lawrence, what do you have for us? MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. First order of business that I want to advise you of is waivers that I have given to three of the boats that have requested it, waiving certain provisions of our rules and regulations dealing with hoppers.

More and more, the folks are going to ticket in ticket out type of games, where they don't have to deal with the tokens, where they don't have to have the hoppers, where they don't have the drops. It cuts down on time, the people don't have to carry all the tokens from here, to there, to yonder. And on my tour of a couple of the boats I have talked to the players and they seem to be taking it well.

What happens is, they have a card, electronic card or ticket, that is given out of that machine. They can use it at another machine, or cash it at the cage for cash.

And I have given waivers to the extent that they can take those out and deal only with

the electronic card.

Provisions of our statute says that

it can be tokens or electronic card, and we

had an interpretation from GLI, the same as

our staff here, these tickets are applicable

to electronic cards. So I have given

waivers and anticipate others, so I would

advise you of that.

Secondarily, as you know, this past
Tuesday the legislature came back in session
and as of I think this coming Monday all
bills have to be filed, at least by the
House. I wanted to advise you of some of
the legislation that will affect the industry
and possibly this commission.

Our hearing before Ways and Means is on January 22nd. Also on that date is the gaming horse raising commission and the lottery commission. We're only there for half an hour. One of our requests that we have, Representative Leidell has introduced a bill concerning problem gaming and an establishment of rules, and giving us the authority to promulgate rules and regulations concerning people who want to self evict from

1 the boats. Currently they do that at
2 individual boats, and there's no single point
3 of contact. So we want to see if we can
4 keep them from all of the boats.

The other issue is the confidentiality. We've had some issues previously about people who want to contact us and self evict themselves, and, since it's not statutorily established, that information would not necessarily be confidential. So in the legislation we are requesting that personal information be confidential, so that we can work with those people.

We would not be the entity that would necessarily deal with the problem gaming issue, we would then refer them to the Department of Health and Addiction that has the funds available to do that.

Legislation has been introduced concerning the -- what is 110 percent rule. Senator Server has introduced a bill authorizing entities to own up to 200 percent of operations. Pull tabs will be introduced by Representative Reske, at four locations, so the two race tracks and the two OTB's at

1 the present time. I haven't seen the
2 legislation, but I understand that he will be
3 introducing that.

Also introduced will be a bill dealing with the location of a casino at French Lick again. Representative Denbo will be introducing that either today or on Monday, as I understand it. I haven't seen the body of the bill, but I understand it to be fairly similar to what was discussed during the special session.

One other issue was the Governor indicated in his budget by pledging director yesterday, a special budget committee meeting that there will be an issue of -- a relook, so to speak, at when the percentages kick in on the flexible board. So that will probably be coming up.

Those are the ones that I'm aware of.

There are a couple of other peripheral ones

dealing with Gary and the State Police Bureau

for the State Police on the boats background

investigation, and a couple other smaller

items that may impact us, but it doesn't -
we won't have a lot of bills to deal with,

but they will be substantial. So I think that's what I have. Any questions, I will be happy to answer.

MR. VOWELS: Any questions of the board? Thank you.

The next matter is old business. Is there any old business.

And under new business the first matter that we have is Miss Chelf, the adoption of the rules dealing with Resolution 2003-1. And just tell the lady your name so she can get it down.

MS. CHELF: It's Jennifer Chelf.

Staff attempted to re-adopt Article 4 last year without changes. The process wasn't completed due to a request we received for separate consideration of Article 4. The request required staff to start the promulgation process from the beginning.

The resolution before you allows the staff to publish the existing Article 4 as the proposed rule. The staff would then conduct a public hearing and receive comment, and forward the rule through the full promulgation process. A change was made in

1 the resolution and that is the sentence 2 highlighted in the page that's changed from 3 the copy that you received in your packets. 4 MR. VOWELS: Any questions of Miss 5 Chelf? 6 So in front of us we have Resolution 7 2003-1, the resolution adopting 68 IAC 4 for 8 publication as proposed rules. Is there a 9 motion in reference to this resolution? 10 MR. GETTELFINGER: Move to adopt. 11 MR. VOWELS: Is there a second? 12 MS. ROSE: Second. 13 MR. VOWELS: Any further discussion? 14 All those in favor say aye. Show the 15 resolution is adopted, Miss Chelf. 16 And the next matter on the agenda is 17 the delegation of authority to Mr. Lawrence. 18 Miss Dean, is that with you? 19 MS. DEAN: Yes, it is. Thank you. 20 Good morning. Commissioners, you 21 have before you Resolution 2003-2, a 22 resolution granting the executive director 23 authority to waive 68 IAC 5-3, the debt 24 acquisition. A riverboat licensee or 25 affiliate may not enter into a debt

transaction in the amount of \$1 million or more without commission approval.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The commission and staff needed from the number of parties getting the approval process, may create delays that do not allow the companies to respond in debt market as quickly as needed. This resolution helps clarify 68 IAC 5-3-6, that allows the executive director to waive the rule.

Based upon prior discussions with the commission, the resolution states that the executive director may waive commission approval upon review, discussion and agreement obtained from the chair of the commission and the certified public accountant. Upon obtaining that approval the three parties, the executive director or the chair and the certified public accountant, Mr. Lawrence would then be able to grant a waiver and report back to the commission at the next scheduled meeting. The reporting back would then allow the commission to review the waiver that was granted, and to direct the executive director to take additional or different actions if



1 needed. 2 There is one correction I would like 3 to note in the resolution that was sent to 4 you in draft, and that is in paragraph four, 5 line eight, I believe, and it stated the 6 executive director and the commissioners, and I would like to clarify that to the 7 8 executive director, the chair, and the 9 certified public accountant. Are there any 10 questions? 11 MR. VOWELS: Any questions for Miss 12 Thank you Miss Dean. Dean? 13 In front of us is Resolution 2003-2 14 which deals with granting the executive 15 director authority to waive 68 IAC 5-3, which 16 is Debt Acquisition. Is there a motion in 17 reference to this resolution? 18 MR, MILCAREK: I motion to approve. 19 MR. VOWELS: Is there a second? 20 MR. GETTELFINGER: Second. 21 MR. VOWELS: Any further discussion? 22 All those in favor say aye. 23 COMMISSION: Aye. 24 MR. VOWELS: Resolution is approved. 25 Thank you, Miss Dean.



And then Miss. Brodnan, we have you, and just make sure the lady knows who you are.

MS. BRODNAN: Yes, she does.

You have before you for approval
Resolution 2003-3, regarding table game
Casino Surrender, submitted by Casino Gaming,
LLC. Grand Victoria has indicated it will
offer the game if approved.

Casino Surrender consists of the traditional game of Blackjack, with an additional option. Players are dealt two cards face up, if the dealer has an up card that is a ten value, will then check to see if he has a Blackjack. If so, the game is over.

If the dealer does not have a Blackjack and the player has 20, the player can force the casino to surrender. If the player chooses this option, the player is given his or her original bet back, plus half the amount of the bet. If the player does not choose to surrender and still beats the dealer, the player receives his bet back, plus an additional payment in the same

1 amount. 2 The hold to the house when the 3 players choose this option is approximately 4 5.8 percent. The surrender option does not 5 affect the outcome or hold percentage of the 6 underlying game. Commission staff recommends 7 that you grant conditional approval of this 8 game. 9 MR. VOWELS: Any questions for Miss 10 Brodnan? In front of you, you have 11 Resolution 2003-3, which is conditional 12 approval of the game of Casino Surrender. 13 Is there a motion in reference to this 14 Resolution? 15 MS. ROSE: So moved. 16 MR. VOWELS: Is there a second? 17 MR. GETTELFINGER: Second. 18 MR. VOWELS: Any further discussion? 19 All those in favor say aye. 20 COMMISSION: Aye. 21 MR. VOWELS: Vote is approved. Thank 22 you, Miss Brodnan. 23 And then the next matter on the 24 agenda is the occupational license matters, 25 and that is with Miss Chelf, is that right?



order pertaining to a request for a misdemeanor gambling waiver of Bill Abrams.

Mr. Abrams has worked at Grand Victoria since 1996, and the commission staff recently discovered that he had disclosed a gambling conviction on his application, and it was never addressed. So we held a hearing about that. I served as the review officer.

You have before you my recommendation, wherein I recommend the waiver be granted. The recommendation and order also requires Mr. Abram to serve a three-day suspension, for making accusation at the hearing that a commission sergeant made false statements in a commission incident report, regarding an incident between the sergeant and Mr. Abram. I find that Mr. Abram's statements at the hearing were without basis, given his own behavior at the felony -- the misdemeanor gambling waiver hearing.

I want to point out that the only substantive change in the recommendation that you have before you from today, the only

```
1
    change from that and the one I submitted
2
    with the packet, is paragraph ten on page
3
    four, where I describe the apology letter Mr.
4
    Abrams provided to the sergeant after the
5
    initial incident. You can vote to either
6
    adopt or reject my recommendation.
7
            MR. VOWELS: And that paragraph ten
8
    is something that came up since you initially
9
    sent those things, so that's new?
10
            MS. CHELF: It was something that I
11
    had neglected to include in my summary of
12
    the facts.
13
            MR. VOWELS: Okay. Any questions
14
    then for Miss Chelf?
15
            Then in front of us is the Indiana
16
    Gaming Commission order concerning the
17
    gambling misdemeanor waiver request for Mr.
18
    Abrams.
             Is there a motion in reference to
19
    adopting or rejecting the recommendation of
20
    the officer to approve that request?
21
            MR. GETTELFINGER: Move to adopt.
22
            MR. VOWELS: Is there a second?
23
            MS. ROSE: Second.
24
            MR. VOWELS: Any further discussion?
25
    All those in favor say aye.
```

COMMISSION: Aye.

MR. VOWELS: The waiver is granted.

And then, Miss Brodnan, are you on

4 Mr. Serrano?

MS. BRODNAN: Yes. Steven Serrano received a level 2 temporary license to work at Majestic Star, as a slot attendant. In November of 2002, he was observed removing tokens from a slot machine and placing them in token buckets. He filled four buckets and placed them next to the machine, and closed the machine without returning them. A female patron picked up the buckets and, with Mr. Serrano's assistance, cashed them in, receiving approximately \$1100. It was later discovered that Mr. Serrano and the patron lived at the same address.

The casino terminated his employment because of this incident. Commission staff revoked his temporary license, and denied his application for a permanent license. You will need to vote to uphold or overrule the revocation and the denial. If you uphold the staff action, Mr. Serrano will have the opportunity to appeal to an administrative

```
1
    law judge.
 2
            MR. VOWELS: In front of us is the
 3
    commission action on the revocation of the
 4
    temporary license and denial of the
5
    application for a permanent occupational
6
    license of Mr. Serrano. Is there a motion
7
    to deny or approve the application for the
8
    license?
9
            MS. ROSE: I will move to deny the
10
    application for the license.
11
            MR. VOWELS: Is there a second?
12
            MR. MILCAREK: Second.
13
            MR. VOWELS: Any further discussion?
14
    All in favor say aye.
15
            COMMISSION: Aye.
16
            MR. VOWELS: Renewal is denied.
                                              And
17
    then you have Mr. Pantinas?
18
            MS. BRODNAN: Yes. In August, 2002
19
    John Pantinas submitted an application for a
20
    license to work as a dealer at Blue Chip.
21
    He had previously held a level 2 license to
22
    work as a dual-rate supervisor at Harrah's.
23
    During his employment in a supervisory
24
    capacity at Harrah's, Mr. Pantinas accepted a
25
    tip from a patron, in violation of commission
```

1 rules. Pursuant to this, commission staff
2 denied his application to work at Blue Chip.
3 The denial was approved by the commission on
4 September 27th, 2002.

Mr. Pantinas appealed this decision and submitted a request for a hearing. The commission appointed Bernard L. Pylitt as the Administrative Law Judge, and a hearing was conducted on December 11, 2002, in East Chicago.

Mr. Pantinas appeared by his counsel, Mr. Craig Hanson. Present for the commission were myself and Jennifer Chelf. On or about January 7th, 2003, Judge Pylitt submitted his Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Recommendations, which recommended that the denial of Mr. Pantinas' application be upheld and his appeal be denied.

You will need to vote to adopt or reject this recommendation, and to deny or grant his appeal. Commission staff recommends that you adopt the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge.

MR. VOWELS: That last paragraph of the recommendation on page nine said that 15

1 days from the receipt of Judge Pylitt's order 2 to file a written exception, I assume he 3 hasn't done that? 4 MS. BRODNAN: No. I've contacted his 5 attorney, and at the time he had not yet 6 received the recommendation, but asked him to 7 contact us if he would be interested in 8 submitting findings before today, and I have 9 not heard from him. 10 MR. VOWELS: And then on page eight a 11 footnote of Judge Pylitt's decision, he 12 suggests that we take whatever action 13 necessary to ensure that this particular 14 regulation is clear, speak to Harrah's in 15 particular, but perhaps -- when I read this, 16 I was unaware about this situation, about 17 supervisors and tips, and I should know. 18 Perhaps we might want to just have a letter 19 that would go out to all ten of the boats 20 just on this particular issue. 21 This was a rough decision in the way 22 that he wrote this, apparently. I haven't 23 seen anything like this from Judge Pylitt 24 since he's been our Administrate Law Judge,

or the Administrative Law Judge. Are there

1 any questions for Miss Brodnan? 2 In front of us is the order of the 3 Indiana Gaming Commission concerning the 4 appeal of denial of the application for an 5 occupational license submitted by John 6 Pantinas. Is there a motion to accept or 7 reject the Finding of Facts, Conclusions of 8 Law and Recommendation filed by Judge Pylitt, 9 and then the second part to deny or approve 10 Mr. Pantinas' appeal of the denial of his 11 application for an occupational license? 12 there you have it. Anybody have a motion? 13 MR. MILCAREK: I will motion that we 14 accept the Judge's recommendation, and the 15 second part deny the license application. 16 MR. VOWELS: All right. Is there a 17 second? 18 MR. GETTELFINGER: Point of 19 discussion. Was your comment about a letter 20 to each of the licensees about this matter a 21 part of this resolution? Or is that 22 separate? 23 No, that was just a MR. VOWELS: 24 suggestion, since he had suggested that in 25 his opinion, that it might be a good idea to



1 do it. Like I said, I was educated, and I 2 should have known it. 3 Is there a second? 4 MR. GETTELFINGER: Move to second. 5 MR. VOWELS: Any further discussion? 6 All in favor say aye. 7 COMMISSION: Aye. 8 MR VOWELS: I will show that it's 9 accepted. 10 And then back to Miss Chelf, dealing 11 with the correction of those minutes from 12 December 15th, 1997. Did we figure out 13 whether I was chairman or not? 14 MS. CHELF: I believe you celebrated 15 your fifth anniversary last fall, and nobody 16 gave you a cake. 17 Commissioner Darko was present at 18 that meeting also. From what we've been 19 able to piece together from recollection, you 20 were already chairman at that time. 21 MR. VOWELS: I thought it was in '98. 22 It doesn't matter. 23 MS. CHELF: It's been a long time. 24 The commission staff received a 25 request from Mr. Arnold Fleischman to review



1 the section of minutes from the December 2 15th, 1997 business meeting that pertained to 3 an action against him. 4 You have before you amended minutes. 5 These amendments address Mr. Fleischman's 6 concerns, and are an accurate representation 7 of the events at that meeting as recorded in 8 the transcript of that meeting. 9 MR. VOWELS: Okay. All right. 10 There's no problem with the four of us 11 voting on this, although two of us were not 12 around at the time? Is there a review of 13 the transcript? 14 MS. CHELF: Well, I've reviewed the 15 section of the transcript that pertains to 16 that discussion at that meeting pertaining to 17 him, and those are the changes that I 18 believe we could make that would still make 19 the minutes accurate with the transcript, 20 considering the transcript. 21 MR. VOWELS: Any questions for Miss 22 Chelf? 23 And you're sure I was chairman? 24 MS. CHELF: Yes. 25 MR. VOWELS: I'm confused about that,



```
1
     but I will just take your word for it.
 2
             All right. Is there a motion in
 3
     reference to the amendment of those minutes
 4
     of December 15th, 1997, outlined by Miss
 5
     Chelf?
 6
             MR. MILCAREK: Since I did attend
 7
     that meeting, I feel I should make a motion
 8
     to accept the minutes.
 9
             MR. VOWELS: Is there a second?
10
             MR. GETTELFINGER: Second.
 11
             MR. VOWELS: Any further discussion?
12
     All those in favor say aye.
13
            COMMISSION: Aye.
14
             MR. VOWELS: Show that they are
15
     amended.
16
             And then the suppliers license
 17
     matters with Miss Marsden. Make sure the
18
     lady knows your name.
 19
             MS. MARSDEN: Michelle Marsden,
20
     M-A-R-S-D-E-N.
21
             Good morning. Before you is
22
     Resolution 2003-4, concerning the renewal of
23
     suppliers' licenses.
             On December 6th, 2002, by Resolution
24
 25
     2001-40, the commission renewed the
```

supplier's license held by International Electronic Protection, Ltd, which is IEP, International Game Technology, which is IFT, and Midwest Game Supply. Conditions are placed on a supplier's license that they must be renewed annually and request a renewal of their supplier's license at least 30 days prior to the expiration of their license, in accordance to 68 IAC 2-2-8.

The above-named supplier licensees have requested a renewal of the licensure,

The above-named supplier licensees have requested a renewal of the licensure, and the annual renewal fees have been paid, in accordance with 68 IAC 2-2-3 and 68 IAC 2-2-8. Commission has determined that the above supplier licensees remain in compliance for licensure, so before you is 2003-4 for the renewal of suppliers' licenses of IEP, IGT, and Midwest Game Supply.

MR. VOWELS: Thank you. Are there any questions for Miss Marsden?

In reference to Resolution 2003-4 is there a motion?

MR. GETTELFINGER: Move to renew.

MR. VOWELS: Is there a second?

MS. ROSE: Second.



1 MR. VOWELS: Any further discussion? 2 All those in favor say aye. 3 COMMISSION: Aye. 4 MR. VOWELS: Resolution is approved. 5 And then we have Resolution 2003-5, 6 and that's with Miss Brodnan. 7 MS. BRODNAN: 2003-5 is in regards to 8 a request of the Bud Jones Company to 9 withdraw its supplier's license. This was a 10 late change. I don't know if you got the 11 revised version. There was a different 12 supplier license that was the original five, 13 but this one deals with the Bud Jones 14 Company. 15 B&G, doing business as Bud Jones, 16 received a supplier's license in August of 17 2001. Paul-son Gaming Supplies received its 18 supplier's license in 1995. Pursuant to 19 Resolution 2002-21, adopted by the commission 20 on July 29th, 2002, commission approved a 21 request of Bud Jones for a transfer of 22 ownership interest in B&G, Bud Jones, and 23 Paul-son. Transfer resulted in B&G and Bud 24 Jones becoming wholly owned subsidiaries of



Paul-son, and the merger was completed as of

```
1
    January 1st of this year.
 2
            Pursuant to correspondence dated
 3
    January 8th, 2003, Bud Jones has advised
 4
    that, since the merger, Paul-son will
 5
    hereafter manufacture and distribute the Bud
 6
    Jones line of products, and has requested the
 7
    ability to withdraw the supplier's license
 8
    held by Bud Jones.
 9
            MR. VOWELS: What does B&G stand for?
10
            MS. BRODNAN: I'm not going to even
11
    try to tell you.
12
            MR. VOWELS: All right. Any
13
    questions then for Miss Brodnan?
14
            MR. VOWELS: Resolution 2003-5
15
    concerns a request from Bud Jones Company,
16
    Inc. to withdraw its supplier's license. Is
17
    there a motion to grant or deny that
18
    request?
19
            MR. GETTELFINGER: Move to grant.
20
            MR. VOWELS: Is there a second?
21
            MS. ROSE: Second.
22
            MR. VOWELS: Any further discussion?
23
    All those in favor say aye.
24
            COMMISSION: Aye.
25
            MR. VOWELS: Motion is granted.
```



1 And then I believe are the riverboat 2 owners' matters. And, Mr. Lawrence, we're 3 back to you with the waiver. 4 MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you. In front 5 of you is Resolution 2003-6, a Resolution 6 concerning the request for a waiver of 68 7 IAC 2-3-5(c)(9), regarding Merchant Mariner's 8 documents. 9 When we were establishing licensing 10 rules and regulations for the boats on the 11 lake, at that time the Coast Guard indicated 12 that they would require all employees of 13 those four boats to have Merchant Marine 14 documents, and be worthy seamen. However, 15 since that time, with the issues dealing with 16 reorganization of Homeland security, the 17 Coast Guard has changed some of their 18 activities, and issued a letter to the 19 applicable boats indicating that they would 20 no longer be requiring or processing Merchant 21 Marine documents. 22 I issued a letter to those boats at 23 that time, indicating that in our rules and 24 regs it is required, but that we would not 25 enforce that until such time as we brought

1 it before the commission to proceed further. 2 My recommendation in this is that we 3 waive that requirement, since the Coast Guard 4 is no longer issuing that, and that we will 5 proceed with the process of modifying our 6 regulations. We had it in there 7 specifically, because they requested it 8 specifically. So what we will do is proceed 9 to modify our rules and regulations to make 10 it more flexible, to include in the event 11 that the boats would again sail, and the 12 Coast Guard require something, that we would 13 also require that. 14 MR. VOWELS: Any questions for Mr. 15 Lawrence? In front of us is Resolution 16 2003-6, which concerns request for a waiver 17 of 68 IAC 2-3-5(c)(9), regarding Merchant 18 Mariner's documents. Is there a motion to 19 approve or disapprove the request for the 20 waiver? 21 MS. ROSE: I move to approve the 22 request for a waiver. 23 MR. VOWELS: Is there a second? 24 MR. MILCAREK: Second. 25 MR. VOWELS: Any further discussion?



1 All those in favor say aye. 2 COMMISSION: Aye. 3 MR. VOWELS: Show that it is 4 approved. 5 And, then, Mr. Lawrence we're still 6 with you. 7 MR. LAWRENCE: Yes. In front of you is Resolution 2003-7, regarding a waiver of 8 9 the requirement to have the executive 10 director's signature included on the 11 identification badges of all employees. 12 In our requirements it does state 13 that -- specifically requires that the 14 identification badges shall display the 15 signature of executive director. That has 16 been all well and good for the past seven 17 years. But now that we have changed, 18 technically, it might be -- someone might 19 think that we would be required to change 20 all of the badges again, to include the new 21 executive director's signatures, and then 22 seven years from now, when the executive 23 director again changes, we might have to do 24 that again. 25 So, this resolution deals with

```
1
    elimination of the requirement that the
2
    executive director signature be included on
3
    the identification badges. I think initially
4
    it was included for security reasons, but we
5
    feel, and the staff feels, and the State
6
    Police advisors feel, that that is not
7
    something we have to be concerned about.
8
            MR. VOWELS: Any questions for Mr.
9
    Lawrence?
10
            MR. MILCAREK: Has it been exactly
11
    seven years?
12
            MR. VOWELS: Okay. Resolution 2003-7
13
    concerning this waiver dealing with the
14
    identification badges signature, is there a
15
    motion to approve or disapprove this
16
    resolution?
17
            MR. MILCAREK: Move to approve.
18
            MR. VOWELS: Is there a second?
19
            MR. GETTELFINGER: Second.
20
            MR. VOWELS: Any further discussion?
21
    All those in favor say aye.
22
            COMMISSION: Aye.
23
            MR. VOWELS: Show that it is
24
    approved.
25
            Then, Miss Dean on the Aztar
```

1 amendment on the development agreement, 2 Resolution 2003-8. 3 MS. DEAN: Yes. Thank you. 4 Resolution 2003-8 is a resolution concerning 5 the amendment to a riverboat land lease 6 agreement by and between the Riverboat 7 Commission -- Redevelopment Commission of 8 Evansville and Aztar Indiana Gaming company, 9 LLC. 10 On or about May 2nd, 1995, the 11 Redevelopment Commission of Evansville and 12 Aztar Gaming Company, LLC, executed a lease 13 agreement. On or about December 27th, 2002, 14 the Redevelopment Commission adopted an 15 amendment to the lease agreement. 16 amendment has been approved by all parties, 17 and is submitted to the commission for 18 approval today. 19 The general manager of Aztar, Jim 20 Brown, is present, along with, I believe, 21 local counsel if the commission has any 22 questions, or if you would like to add any 23 information for the commission. 24 MR. BROWN: I'm Jim Brown, and I'm 25 the president and general manager of Casino

Aztar, Evansville, and with me is Larry 1 2 Downs, the attorney for the City of 3 Evansville, and Kay Fleming with Ice Miller. 4 And I think that you have a letter of 5 support from the mayor of Evansville, and an 6 executive summary, and we do have the full 7 support of Aztar, and the city of Evansville, 8 the mayor's office, and our Redevelopment 9 Commission. 10 MR. VOWELS: Let me just ask a couple 11 of questions here. I was reading through 12 this, and I'm not real clear on how it's 13 going to work. You're advancing moneys that 14 you will pay towards future rent for these 15 lease years seven through ten, is that 16 correct? 17 MR. BROWN: Yes. 18 MR. VOWELS: Okay. And the lease 19 payments are based on some formula on what 20 your adjusted gross revenue would be, is that 21 right? 22 MR. BROWN: Yes. 23 MR. VOWELS: And how is it that you 24 come up with this number, in anticipation of 25 what you would pay?

MR. BROWN: We've projected what our annual revenues will be for the years 2002, which has been completed through 2005. And based on the formula of our lease agreement with the city, which contemplates percentages of our revenue going to the city, we have a base rent, which is ongoing, paid to the city, that has been in place since we've opened, aside from the gaming tax and commission taxes. And the incremental portion of the lease payments for the rental of Riverfront Park would go to a capital development fund.

We expect that over the course of the next -- through 2005, that will accumulate close to \$20 million. What we have agreed to do is guarantee and prefund \$10 million to the City of Evansville for development projects in Evansville, that the city desires to undertake. And we've also agreed to lease to the city three and a half acres of land for \$1 per year, for those development projects. And we have a levee wall that is located near the river front in Evansville on that land, and we've agreed to allow the

1 levee wall to be relocated to our land. 2 The other portion of the capital 3 development fund makes us responsible to do 4 capital projects to the magnitude of up to 5 \$25 million over the next four years, of 6 which we would receive a rent credit for a 7 portion of our investment. If we did not 8 make the capital investment during the time 9 frames that are contemplated in the 10 agreement, we would be immediately liable to 11 pay that lease money directly to the city. 12 MR. VOWELS: So am I clear then that 13 you're paying in advance \$10 million of 14 future lease payments? 15 MR. BROWN: Yes. 16 MR. VOWELS: Okay. There's a \$20 17 million figure that's floating around. 18 \$20 million is what we MR. BROWN: 19 expect that excess rent to accumulate over 20 the next four years. \$10 million we would 21 immediately pay to the city, and we're 22 guaranteeing that for a project or projects 23 that they decide to embark upon. The other 24 \$10 million would be available as a rent 25 credit to us as we do capital investment in

```
1
    downtown Evansville, that Aztar undertakes.
2
    And so for each $2.50 that we invest, we
3
    would receive a $1 rent credit.
                                      If we
4
    didn't make the investment, we would be
5
    obligated to pay that rent.
6
            MR. VOWELS: And the concept there is
7
    that they will use this money to build that
8
    baseball stadium, but they also have the
9
    option of doing something else if they want
10
    to?
11
            MR. BROWN:
                        Yes.
12
            MR. VOWELS: And is it Aztar's
13
    intention to do these capital projects at --
14
    with Aztar, completely contingent upon that
15
    baseball stadium happening?
16
            MR. BROWN: No. We're obligated even
17
    if they don't go forward with the baseball
18
    stadium.
19
            MR. VOWELS: Okay. Are there any
20
    other questions?
21
            MR. GETTELFINGER: For the staff,
22
    what is the responsibility of licensees to
23
    come to the commission with this type of
24
    information? When should they come?
25
    under what circumstances?
```

www.BaynesandShirey.com

1 MS. DEAN: If there is an amendment 2 to the development agreement, we ask that 3 they come to the commission for approval of 4 that amendment. If the development 5 agreements were between the cities -- or the 6 localities and the boats, of licensing -- a 7 lot of times there are amendments or 8 additional agreements at the time of 9 relicensing, we would like to be informed 10 concerning the development agreements and to 11 bring them before the commission for 12 approval, assuming all parties are in 13 agreement. 14 MR. GETTELFINGER: Did that happen in 15 this situation in a timely basis? 16 MS. DEAN: It's my understanding that 17 the agreement was in the process. We did 18 read a newspaper article about it. There 19 was just a bit of a delay in getting it into 20 our office, prior to the release in the 21 newspaper.

MR. BROWN: In terms of any questions regarding that, we needed to have an agreement before we did -- and what we were told was we needed to have an agreement

22

23

24

1 before we brought anything to the Indiana 2 Gaming Commission. We didn't have an 3 agreement until it was approved in the City 4 of Evansville, so we wouldn't have anything 5 to bring until the time that we had the 6 agreement approved. And we did so 7 immediately after. 8 MR. VOWELS: And the idea of 9 informing the commission of these sorts of 10 things is basically to put us on notice, so 11 we know to get this on the agenda and do our 12 portion of it. Constructively, I'm of course 13 in Evansville, I knew all about it, I mean 14 from what I read in the newspaper. You 15 know, in Evansville if it's in the newspaper 16 it's got to be true, right? 17 And Glen and I talked about it last 18 week when I was up here on non-commission 19 business, but we never really talked about it 20 in our telephone conversations over the last 21 month. 22 Okay. Is there anything further 23 anybody else wants to add? Mr. Down, since 24 you came all the way up from our home town, 25 do you want to --



1 MR. DOWN: No, Mr. Chairman, just 2 that the Mayor is in full support of this 3 agreement. 4 MR. VOWELS: Okay. 5 MS. DEAN: Excuse me, I would like to 6 add, Mr. Brown is correct we do have a 7 letter from the city stating their agreement 8 with the amendment, and we received it 9 yesterday. So it was not in the packets, 10 but we do have it. 11 MR. VOWELS: Of course there's not 12 one from the city counsel. 13 MR. DOWN: Not at this point. 14 MR. VOWELS: That's a whole other 15 ball game, so to speak. Anything further on 16 this issue? Any questions from anybody? 17 Anything anybody else wants to add? 18 We have Resolution 2003-8, which 19 concerns the amendment to the Riverboat 20 landing lease agreement by and between 21 Redevelopment Commission of Evansville and 22 Aztar Indiana Gaming Company LLC. Is there 23 a motion to either grant or deny the request 24 of Aztar to approve the amendment to the

lease agreement?

1 MS. ROSE: I will move to grant the 2 request of Aztar to approve the amendment to 3 the agreement. 4 MR. VOWELS: Is there a second? 5 MR. GETTELFINGER: I will move to 6 second. 7 MR. VOWELS: Any further discussion? 8 All those in favor say aye. 9 COMMISSION: Aye. 10 MR. VOWELS: Show the resolution is 11 granted. 12 And then the next thing we have are 13 the MBE/WBE. Miss Brodnan, is that with you 14 again? 15 MS. BRODNAN: Yes. Pursuant to an 16 order entered by the commission in December 17 of 2001, Trump and Majestic Star were 18 requested to appear at all live commission 19 meetings through January 2003, so, 20 technically, pursuant to that order, this 21 will be their last live meeting to appear at 22 and provide an update for you. 23 The year end reports for 2002 are not 24 due until the end of this month, so right 25 now we don't have finalized numbers to see



1 how they did pursuant to the requirements of 2 the order, so we will give you an update on 3 that at the next meeting. But they have 4 submitted their updated plans, and there are 5 representatives of both companies here to 6 answer any questions that you have. 7 MR. VOWELS: Okay. What I was 8 looking at is this, you know, I just looked 9 at the numbers to see where they are, and 10 correct me if I'm wrong, on the Trump WBE 11 purchases at 4.42 percent, and I don't know 12 if I'm mixing apples and oranges here or not 13 but it looks like --14 MR. ROGERS: I've come for --15 MR. VOWELS: Hi, come on up and let 16 the lady know your name. 17 MR. ROGERS: Yes, sir, Keith Rogers. 18 MR. VOWELS: And then with Majestic 19 Star it looks like 11.83 percent. Am I 20 reading that right? 21 MR. ROGERS: Well, with the numbers 22 the way we give them to you, see, we have 23 our numbers, but then since we have the 24 joint venture of Buffington Harbor, Majestic 25 Star and Trump, we take 50 percent of their

1 numbers, and 50 percent of the numbers add 2 to the number that we generate ourselves, and 3 that final number is the combined number that 4 you see at the bottom, the 13.9 for MBE and 5 the 6.4 for WBE. So those are the actual 6 numbers. It's just that we show you the 7 breakdown. 8 MR. VOWELS: So is this number of 9 Majestic Star that shows --10 MR. ROGERS: Okay, I'm not sure about 11 Majestic Star numbers. 12 MS. ROGERS: Majestic Star numbers 13 are combined numbers. 14 MR. ROGERS: They are combined 15 numbers. 16 MR. VOWELS: Okay. 17 MR. ROGERS: Yeah, we just give you 18 the breakdown. 19 MR. VOWELS: This lady is going to 20 want to know your name. 21 MS. ROGERS: Karry Rogers. 22 MR. VOWELS: All right. So this 23 11.83 percent number that I'm looking at with 24 Majestic Star is the combined number for them 25 too?

1 MR. ROGERS: Roughly. 2 MS. ROGERS: No, the 16. 3 MR. VOWELS: Okay. Why don't you come up here and -- here's my question. 4 Ιf 5 I'm looking at 4.42 percent on WBE with the 6 boat --7 MS. ROGERS: That is the number. 8 MR. VOWELS: Okay. 9 MS. ROGERS: The 16.94 and 11.83 are 10 the combined numbers together with Buffington 11 Harbor and Majestic Star. 12 MR. VOWELS: Okay. So may I ask you 13 this. You've handed me a document here which 14 is Majestic Star Casino's -- and casino I'm 15 looking at this WBE here. My question is. 16 If I see 11.83 percent on WBE with Majestic 17 Star and 4.42 percent WBE with Trump, am I 18 wrong in thinking that you guys are doing a 19 whole lot better? 20 MS. ROGERS: No. 21 MR. ROGERS: Well, I can't speak for 22 Majestic, but for us, you know -- well, 23 maybe I can speak for all of us. We all 24 work together. You know, I work with Karry, 25 I work with the people at Buffington and



1 just how we work out the numbers, yeah, we 2 may see it for Trump, but we work for 3 Buffington also, so we see what Buffington is 4 buying, and then we add them all together, 5 they come together, and that's the bottom 6 number. 7 MS. ROGERS: Well, I think what he's 8 trying to --9 MR. ROGERS: For us. 10 MS. ROGERS: Yeah, what he's trying 11 to say is that when you're looking at 12 Buffington it's broken out, half would be 13 Trump's and half would be Majestic's, and we 14 would split that number. 15 MR. ROGERS: Yeah. And the number 16 that you see with Majestic -- not with 17 Majestic, with Buffington, that's our half of 18 the business that we do with minority 19 businesses. And if you double that number, 20 it would be the combined business that we 21 deal with minorities and women companies. 22 So, we just split that in half. So 23 actually, like I said, what's going on here 24 is that you really have almost like three 25 companies.

```
1
            MS. ROGERS: Well, yeah, we do our
2
    individual numbers, because we just buy for
3
    the boat, and then Buffington has another
4
    entity which they buy for Buffington, and
5
    that number is split between the two boats.
6
            MR. VOWELS: Okay. Well, let me just
7
    ask my question again, so I can get clear.
8
    Majestic Star is using Women Business
9
    Enterprises just for the boat, not for
10
    Buffington Harbor?
11
            MS. ROGERS: That's right.
12
            MR. VOWELS: Your number 11.83
13
    percent purchases are of WBE that Majestic
14
    Star is making those purchases, is that --
15
            MS. ROGERS: That is a combined
16
    number. What you're seeing is a combined
17
    number.
18
            MR. VOWELS: Combined with what?
19
            MS. ROGERS: Combined of Buffington
20
    and Majestic Star.
21
            MR. VOWELS: Okay. Do you know what
22
    your noncombined number is?
23
            MS. ROGERS: Our noncombined number
24
    for the month of November was 8 point
25
    something.
```

1 MR. VOWELS: Do you have any idea 2 what it was? Are you saying for the month 3 of November? Or year to date? 4 MS. ROGERS: Year to date. 5 MR. VOWELS: So you're at 8 percent? 6 MS. ROGERS: That's correct. 7 MR. VOWELS: And am I right that you're at 4.42 percent? 8 9 MR. ROGERS: Yeah. 10 MR. VOWELS: Why are they twice as 11 many as you? 12 MS. ROGERS: I'm not sure, we --13 MS. ROGERS: Probably because I have 14 more -- I mean I buy too. Keith doesn't buy 15 so, it's kind of a --16 MR. ROGERS: Well, see, we take --17 when we do our numbers we take into account 18 what Buffington does. Okay? We make sure 19 that our bottom line number meets the 20 criteria that's set for us at ten for MBE 21 and five for WBE. 22 Why are their numbers higher than 23 ours, I'm not sure. However, what I can say 24 is that, you know, we work hard to make sure 25 that we meet our numbers. And I tell you

something, the time I've been there this past 1 2 year, you know, it's -- you know, to make 3 sure you get the right mix, at least for our 4 goals that we want to meet, you know, just 5 to get the right mix of the right product, 6 of the right service, the right company that 7 can deal with us, you know. I mean, we 8 can't just have high numbers just -- or deal 9 with the person just because of MBW, we have 10 to find the right company that works for us. 11 So we make sure we meet the number, 12 but then we can't -- we have to make sure 13 that we meet the need of our customers also. 14 So we put all those things together, you 15 Those are numbers that we come up 16 with for us. So as long as -- so the way we 17 look at it is, as long as our final number 18 meets that 13.91 MBE and that 6.41 we meet 19 the numbers then, you know, we've done what 20 we're supposed to do. 21 MR. VOWELS: Let me understand 22 Buffington Harbor. 23 MR. ROGERS: Sure. 24 MR. VOWELS: Trump and Majestic share 25 that, share expenses, da, da, da, everything?



```
1
            MR. ROGERS:
                        Yes.
 2
            MR. VOWELS: So you just go 50/50
 3
    when you're talking to numbers, to apply them
 4
    to hit your statutory goals?
 5
            MR. ROGERS: Yes.
6
            MR. VOWELS: And that's what's upped
7
    the ante here, is throwing Buffington Harbor
 8
    into the mix, you go from 4.42 percent of
9
    WBE and 6.41 -- and the reason I'm not
10
    talking about MBE is because they are --
11
    Trump itself is over 10 percent, but you're
12
    under the 5 percent thing --
13
            MR. ROGERS: Well, I was under the
14
    impression that with the law and since our
15
    money is spent through Buffington, that we
16
    use the Buffington numbers. That's the
17
    impression that we're under.
18
            MR. VOWELS: And you're right.
19
            MR. ROGERS: Okay.
20
            MR. VOWELS: I'm just saying that
21
    they've got twice as many, as far as
22
    percentage points.
23
            MR. GETTELFINGER: Mr. Chairman, if I
24
    may. Trump, I like your demonstration of
25
    compliance with these numbers, I think that's
```

1 helpful. And I would encourage Majestic Star 2 to do the same thing. I think it would help 3 to see better what's going on if you would 4 break it down the way Trump has. 5 MS. ROGERS: Certainly. 6 MR. VOWELS: And if you could do 7 that, just -- I mean, because that would 8 have cut down on all this earlier --9 MS. ROGERS: Sure. 10 MS. ROSE: I would second that, Mr. 11 Chairman, I find this extremely confusing. 12 And it seems to me there needs to be a 13 process whereby they agree on a common way 14 to report these numbers to the commission, so 15 we can compare apples to apples. 16 MR. VOWELS: And the beauty of it is 17 that we've got two boats in the same place, 18 that is like a control booth situation here. 19 But bottom line is find out from her where 20 she's getting that other 4 percent. 21 MR. ROGERS: Well, as I said, I mean. 22 If there's something -- is that what you 23 want us to do? I mean, we can try to do it, 24 but it's like I said I'm not sure what the 25 goals -- or what they're looking for in

1 suppliers. I mean, I don't know. 2 But what we look for in suppliers, 3 it's just hard to, you know, get that total 4 mix in and everyone is happy. Because the 5 final thing is that if our customers aren't 6 happy they're not going to come through the 7 door. If they don't come through the door, 8 you know, Trump Indiana won't survive. 9 we will try to see what we can do. 10 MR. VOWELS: The situation in trying 11 to find these vendors is difficult. In some 12 places it's harder than others. But it 13 appears that she has the list, and she 14 appears satisfied, and blah, blah, blah. 15 It's just that throughout the course of 16 history women have taken a pretty hard hit, 17 and they're 50 percent of us, and --18 MR. ROGERS: Well, we have nothing 19 against women, our general manager is a 20 woman, so, you know, she would knock off my 21 head if I do something like that. 22 MR. VOWELS: You know, I'm glad that 23 the other numbers, but --24 MR. ROGERS: And, you, know just --25 you know, not to take up your time, but

there's one thing I would really like to see is that -- and, like I said, I worked here this year, and I think it would be good if there was more involvement with the gaming commission and us, in trying to find more different types of companies, you know -- you know, work with others, like the larger companies, and getting to some of the smaller minority companies to work with them.

Like say, for instance, with the slot machines, I can't find a minority company with slot machines. And we spend a lot of money with slot machines, so it's something we need to talk to these large slot machine companies, what can you do for us within the State of Indiana, to make sure that we have minority representation in that area. So it's an area where we don't have representation. Not only our area, but within the State of Indiana there's no representation. Maybe some in Illinois and some in Texas.

So I think it's something that we all need to work on, so we can bring increased economy into Indiana. Every time I look my

```
1
    taxes are going up, and I don't like this.
2
    So that's what we really need to do,
3
    increase the number of gaming companies in
4
    the State of Indiana. How that's done, I
5
    mean, I'm not sure the best way, but I think
6
    it would be good if we could work like that.
7
            MR. GETTELFINGER: Mr. Chairman,
8
    again, I like this presentation that Trump
9
    has made.
10
            MR. ROGERS: Thank you.
11
            MR. GETTELFINGER: First of all, it
12
    has dollars and it has percentages.
13
    presentation we have here only has
14
    percentages, so I just don't think you can
15
    compare percentages. Without knowing
16
    numerators and denominators, it's difficult
17
    to make any kind of comparative -- I will
18
    give that to the chairman. So, I think just
19
    by giving both numbers and percentages would
20
    make this analysis much more straightforward.
21
            MR. VOWELS: And, Miss Rogers, do you
22
    have a copy of what Trump submitted to us?
23
            MS. ROGERS: No, I do not, not with
24
    me, but I --
25
            MR. VOWELS: You have one somewhere?
```

1 MS. ROGERS: Yes, I can submit that. 2 MR. VOWELS: If you could use that 3 format. 4 MS. ROGERS: Certainly. 5 MR. ROGERS: We wrote down that, so I 6 can show it to her. 7 MR. VOWELS: Okay. I mean everything 8 is looking good to me, I would just, you 9 know, ask her for her list. 10 MS. ROGERS: We do have it broken 11 out, we just do not have it in our reports. 12 MR. MILCAREK: We understand that you 13 have to present a good product to the 14 public, but, after all, the overall line here 15 is the generated revenue, and creating jobs. 16 Jobs are very important. That's what makes 17 everything else work. 18 MR. ROGERS: That's why I brought up 19 the fact about here in Indiana, if we can, 20 you know, work together in some kind of way 21 to increase the number of companies, I think 22 that would be great also. 23 MR. VOWELS: You know, and through 24 the -- what is it, the Gaming Association, 25 which all of you have some connection?

1 MR. ROGERS: In purchasing, yes. 2 MR. VOWELS: General managers and all 3 that stuff, you know, maybe somebody can say 4 something to that, and all of you can get 5 some sort of uniform format, so when we're 6 looking at these things here we can see that 7 -- I mean, that's -- it would just be easier 8 for us to have to deal with the same stuff. 9 Okay. Anything else then? All right. 10 Thank you. 11 The next matter then, and Miss Dean, 12 we're still with you? 13 MS. DEAN: Did the commission have 14 any questions of Belterra? 15 MR. VOWELS: Yes, I'm sorry. I put a 16 checkmark where I shouldn't have put a 17 checkmark. 18 MR. GIFFORD: Mr. Chairman and 19 members of the commission, I'm Ron Gifford, 20 this is Gwen Smith. The MBE/WBE business 21 development coordinator at Belterra; and 22 Alain Uboldi, the general manager. We 23 submitted to you the progress report. We 24 have not submitted yet the year end, or 25 December numbers, but Alain has those, and

will share those with you today. And, of course, we will file these more formally with the commission, and if you have any questions Gwen or Alain will be happy to address those.

MR. UBOLDI: For the last quarter the MBE percentage was 17.5 percent, and the WBE percentage was 13.37 percent. And for the total year 2002, the MBE percentage was 16.9 percent, and the WBE percentage was 13.9 percent.

MR. VOWELS: So the numbers that we have were the figures here to date through November 30, so your MBE stayed the same at 16.9, and the WBE dropped from 14.2 --

MR. UBOLDI: To 13.9.

MR. VOWELS: Okay. And I think -you know, it's -- I think what we need is
some uniform reporting, so we can -- we can
see those numbers lined up. As Commissioner
Gettelfinger pointed out, it's one thing to
look at percentages, but it would be nice to
look at percentages and actual dollar figures
while they're next to each other.

MR. GIFFORD: And we will submit all

1 that. We have the dollars, if you would 2 like to know the dollar number. But we 3 submit that I think as part of the normal 4 reporting. 5 MR. VOWELS: All right. Are there 6 any questions? Okay. Anything else you 7 want to add? 8 MR. GIFFORD: No. 9 MR. VOWELS: Okay. Thank you. 10 MS. DEAN: As a point of 11 clarification, for the plans that are 12 submitted regularly by the riverboats, not on 13 the individual plans of these three entities 14 to the riverboat commission, we do have a 15 standard form of reporting. So those could 16 be provided to the commissioner also, if that 17 would help with these plans should you so 18 choose. 19 MR. MILCAREK: Mr. Chairman, we used 20 some pretty strong language and some pretty 21 strong leverage with Belterra in the past to 22 reach this point, and I think we should 23 congratulate them on their efforts as coming 24 as far as they have in the short time.

MR. VOWELS: And I think you're

1 right. Of course they weren't zeros. Okay.2 Congratulations.

All right. I will put a checkmark on this agenda, it's messed me up. Financing matters is what's next with Miss Dean, under Resolution 2003-9.

MS. DEAN: Yes, thank you. You have before you Resolution 2003-9, a resolution concerning the financing by Boyd Gaming Corporation. Boyd Gaming has requested that the commission review and approve a debt offering for replacement of debt in the amount of up to \$300 million, at an interest rate not to exceed 7.75 percent, 2012.

This debt offering is a replacement of debt at least to the amount of approximately \$270 million at a lower interest rate, and a cost savings to the company. The debt is a 144A offering, and a waiver of the private placement portion was granted by the executive director on December 27th, 2002. Miss Fleming, I believe, is here today representing Boyd if there are any questions of the commission.

MS. FLEMING: Good morning.



1 MR. VOWELS: Good morning. Are there 2 any questions? All right then. You have in 3 front of you Resolution 2003-9, which is a 4 resolution concerning financing by Boyd 5 Gaming Corporation. This calls upon us to 6 approve or disapprove the request of Boyd for 7 a \$300 million note due 2012. Is there a 8 motion to approve or disapprove this request? 9 MR. GETTELFINGER: Move to approve. 10 MR. VOWELS: Is there a second? 11 MR. MILCAREK: Second. 12 MR. VOWELS: Any further discussion? 13 All those in favor say aye. 14 COMMISSION: Aye. 15 MR. VOWELS: It is approved. 16 the next matter on the agenda is Resolution 17 2003-10, with Miss Dean. 18 MS. DEAN: Yes. Thank you. 19 Resolution concerning the financing by Trump 20 Indiana. Trump Indiana is here today 21 requesting approval of a debt transaction in 22 the amount of up to \$525 million, for a 23 period of eight years, with an interest rate 24 of up to 12.5 percent. 25 The financing is a replacement of an

1 existing debt at a blended better rate to 2 the company than presently exists. Mr. Hahn 3 and a number of representatives from Trump, 4 including the general manager Cathy Walker, 5 and Bob Pickus are here today to answer any 6 questions of the commission. Mr. Pickus, 7 would you like to provide the commission with 8 an explanation? 9 MR. PICKUS: Sure, but we never 10 proceed without Mr. Hahn's introduction. 11 MR. HAHN: Thank you. Mr. Chairman, 12 commission members, Mr. Lawrence, staff, my 13 name is Greg Hahn with Tabbert Hahn, and 14 Dana Childer-Jones also with our firm is 15 here. And with me is Mr. Pickus, who is 16 general counsel of Trump Hotel and Casinos; 17 Cathy Walker, the general manager of Trump 18 Indiana; and Frank McCarthy, who is the CFO. 19 So Mr. Pickus will lead off on the 20 discussion. Thank you. 21 MR. PICKUS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, 22 members of the commission, and staff. Before 23 I begin, or maybe as I begin, if I could; I 24 just wanted to hand out a little visual aid 25 that might be beneficial. You've actually



probably seen it before.

The transaction that has been provided to you today; and that your approval is being requested of; is essentially identical to a transaction that had already been presented to this commission and approved in May of last year, and for a number of various reasons at the time did not proceed. We feel that at this time, for a number of reasons that I will briefly outline, that the time is right for this transaction to move forward.

And, essentially, the transaction is the refinancing of a number of separate different indebtedness of a couple of different Trump subsidiaries under a new umbrella entity, which, if you look at the corporate structure chart that I handed to you is the entity that is identified as Trump Casino Holdings.

That entity will issue the bonds and will have under it to provide funding for the three separate subsidiaries, and that includes one of the significant differences from the transaction that was considered by

1 the commission last year, which we believe is
2 a significant improvement to that
3 transaction.

In addition to having the Trump

Marina facility from Atlantic City under this new umbrella holding company, as well as the Gary, Indiana facility, the transaction now also includes a management agreement that

Trump has entered into for a Native American casino facility which opened in April of last year. This facility has no additional indebtedness associated with it, but actually contributes a significant amount of cash flow to the new entity, to enable it to better service this new indebtedness.

MS. ROSE: Where's Trump 29 located?

MR. PICKUS: It is located in a town
called Calexico, California, which is about
20 minutes from Palm Springs.

The addition of that, in addition to enhancing the cash flow available, also provides this new entity with additional geographic diversity, which will better enable the company to sustain the ability to pay the interest payments. The transaction,

in refinancing certain indebtedness, extends the maturity of the indebtedness of the company for a period of approximately eight years.

Some of the existing indebtedness comes due in less than a year, earlier this fall. So by being able to refinance that, we are further ensuring the longer term finance stability of all of the entities involved. I should also note that the transaction will result in the Trump Hotel and Casino Resort company retiring approximately \$176 million of its own bonds that it currently holds, thereby eliminating that amount of indebtedness from its balance sheet, so it would really help the company fairly significant.

Now, I will ask Mr. McCarthy to address the commission on a couple other matters.

MR. MCCARTHY: Good morning. We're going out to market on this transaction in about a week and a half. The market right now is very favorable for bond issues. We are taking a look at, again, going out in a



1 week and a half. The process will take a 2 few weeks. 3 We have -- as Bob had said, by 4 combining the operations we have a two to 5 one coverage, which basically our cash flow 6 from operations will be twice the amount of 7 the interest expense that we will have on 8 the debt issue, and that will be very 9 favorable in the marketplace. Where we have 10 a -- I think an excellent opportunity here 11 because as we all know the markets have been 12 very volatile over the last year, year and a 13 half, and right now the conventional wisdom 14 on Wall Street is the next few months should 15 be very bullish to get transactions through 16 the system. So we're excited that we have 17 the timing correct this time. 18 MR. GETTELFINGER: Point of 19 clarification. \$2 of cash flow for every 20 dollar of interest? 21 MR. PICKUS: Yes. 22 MS. FLEMING: Miss Dean had asked if 23 I might address for you our capital 24 expenditures for 2002, and also give you some 25 idea of what we're looking forward to in



1 2003. 2 In 2002, as you may recall, Trump and 3 Majestic, as venture partners, put 4 approximately \$18 million into the facility 5 for the construction of a new garage, a new 6 entrance into the facility on the second 7 level, and the refurbishment of the existing 8 property areas to match that new entrance, 9 new carpeting, new wall covering, new 10 lighting, to provide one seamless flow into 11 the boarding areas of the vessels. 12 In addition to that amount of money, 13 Trump, on it's own, had capital expenditures 14 of approximately \$9 million. That included a 15 new slot system, some computer upgrades. 16 then we also began to redo our facility 17 areas. We started in our hotel and created 18 a ball room area were we did our restaurants 19 and our bar in the hotel. And then we moved 20 over into our facility and redid our VIP 21 lounge. Right before Christmas we finished 22 redoing our deli. We also redid the gaming 23 area on the fourth level of the vessel.

million budgeted for capital expenditures.

Raunes Shireu

Going into 2003, we have another \$8.7

24

Included in that is further improvements to the facility. New carpeting for the vessel, a \$250,000 upgrade for our surveillance system, and then some slot products, new chairs, coverings. And also we're going to go back into our hotel, do over the lobby and entrance, new carpeting for the hotel. And then we go into the hotel rooms themselves and start an upgrade there.

The joint venture for 2003, also has facility improvements that are already under way. Right now we have our pavilion area shut, and we are redoing that to enhance our ability to use that for a function room, to hold shows there. And we anticipate that that work should be done by the end of January.

When it's finished we will then be shutting down the steak house and doing a whole upgrade in that area. After we finish the steak house project, we move on to redo all of the public area bathrooms. And we also shut down our employee cafeteria, and we have about \$300,000 in renovations scheduled there too, for our employees.

1 The other part of our capital 2 expenditures for this coming year consists of 3 purchases that will assist our quests in 4 terms of bill breakers, and also our 5 employees in terms of more equipment for the 6 cage operations, and some more computer 7 upgrades for that operation as well. 8 Going out into 2004, and there out, 9 this financing will provide us with the 10 ability to put out \$7 million a year in 11 future cap ex, and we will also have the 12 ability to go back, subject to certain 13 conditions, to get more capital money for the 14 property. I know in the past the capital 15 had been very limited, but in this past year 16 I hope you understand we did a lot to bring 17 us back up to speed, and I think going 18 forward we have a solid plan to improve the 19 problem. 20 MR. VOWELS: Thank you. 21 MR. PICKUS: Just real briefly in 22 summary. As you can tell from what Cathy 23 has just described, we remain committed, and 24 this transaction actually enhances our 25 ability to provide capital expenditures to

maintain the property and keep it competitive. We're committed to that, and that is, in part, why this transaction is going forward.

With respect to the coverage of interest payments that Mr. McCarthy spoke to, while the stated interest rate of this transaction is essentially higher than we would like it to be, and probably higher than some of the other transactions that are before this commission from time to time, the real true test of the value and benefit of the transaction is the company's ability to pay the interest, and, as Mr. McCarthy noted, even at the level of interest that we are hoping to come below. But even if we were not, the company still maintains a two to one coverage, which is very significant and substantial, and we're confident will be able to allow us to move forward on a long-term basis.

I would note, finally, that I have had a chance to review the draft resolution that Miss Dean provided, including the conditions that were included, and that that



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
1
    is acceptable to the company.
2
            MR. VOWELS: Okay. Commissioner
3
    Gettelfinger, a while ago you asked about the
4
    cash flow and the interest of $2 for $1,
5
    what does that mean?
6
            MR. GETTELFINGER: Well, maybe we
7
    could have the accountant -- let them speak
8
    for themselves.
9
            MR. VOWELS: And see if you agree?
10
            MR. MCCARTHY: What it is, is our
11
    operations generate money, and say our
12
    operation generates $2, the debt service we
13
    have to pay on the new issue is $1. So when
14
    we say we have a coverage ratio of two to
15
    one, for every $2 of operating cash flow we
16
    pay out $1 of interest. And so that gives
17
    you a cushion. If, for instance, there was
18
    some unforeseen calamity that would bring the
19
    $2 down to say $1.75 or $1.50, we would
20
    still have more than enough to cover our
21
    interest.
22
            MR. VOWELS: Okay. So, the money
23
    that you're making, half of it is going
24
    towards this interest?
25
            MR. MCCARTHY:
```

1 MR. GETTELFINGER: What's the other 2 half going toward? 3 MR. MCCARTHY: Well, what we have, we 4 have two vehicles. First and foremost, as 5 Cathy mentioned, we have capital expenditures 6 that we have to make. And then also, as part 7 of this transaction, the company's long-term 8 goal, which we have mentioned this spring is 9 to be -- the company, we have a cash flow 10 sweep, and the excess cash and the excess of 11 cap ex that we can spend on the properties 12 would go to retirement of that. 13 MR. GETTELFINGER: This cash flow is 14 going to pay for such things as supplies 15 and --16 MR. MCCARTHY: No. The \$2, that's 17 after supplies. 18 MR. GETTELFINGER: Okay. Thank you, 19 that's very helpful. 20 MS. ROSE: Mr. Commissioner, may I 21 ask a question? What's the amount of 22 indebtedness that's due this fall? 23 MR. MCCARTHY: About \$325 million. 24 In 2005, there is another \$109 million. So 25 what this does is it significantly enhances

1 the financial stability of the company. 2 MR. VOWELS: It means you don't have 3 to pay until later? 4 MR. MCCARTHY: Well, hopefully, with 5 the cash flow sweep it will be reduced 6 during the term. 7 MR. VOWELS: Okay. And you're 8 talking 12 and a half percent interest? 9 MR. PICKUS: Well, we put that in as 10 an outside cap. We're hopeful that it will 11 be less than that. 12 MR. MILCAREK: What would you think? 13 MR. PICKUS: It's hard to say. 14 MR. MCCARTHY: The market guides 15 determine this stuff. We're hopeful that 16 with the power of as Bob said putting in the 17 (inaudible) agreement, as well as conditions 18 in the marketplace, that we will be able to 19 get a favorable rate. Again, we're going to 20 be at the mercy of what the market dictates. 21 MR. VOWELS: But, as Mr. Pickus said 22 earlier, that number may not be as nice as 23 some that we see when other companies come 24 in front of us. Is that number higher 25 because the entity, that's going to loan the

1 money, views Trump as a higher risk than 2 another company that would get a lower 3 interest rate? 4 MR. MCCARTHY: I think, again, when 5 you take a look at the capitalization 6 structure of our company we tend to be more 7 on a leverage debt position than other 8 companies are, and that's a condition that 9 creates the interest rate. By having this 10 vehicle that we're going to have, the cash 11 flow sweet mechanism to reduce the debt going 12 into the future, I believe it starts at the 13 end of 2003, it starts immediately, we will 14 be able to start de-levering the company. 15 MS. ROSE: What's the debt 16 capitalization ratio? 17 MR. MCCARTHY: I would say right now 18 the company has approximately maybe about 19 \$200 million or \$300 million in equity, and 20 about \$1.8 billion in debt overall, counting 21 its Atlantic City -- two Atlantic City 22 properties, that are not part of this 23 transaction. 24 MR. GETTELFINGER: Those are book 25 numbers. What about market -- what about



1 debt to market capitalization? 2 MR. MCCARTHY: Well, the market 3 number is about 300, about 250 to 300, right 4 in that range. 5 MR. PICKUS: And I think, just to 6 emphasize one of the points in terms of the 7 overall leverage of the company, this 8 transaction, as I said, on its consummation 9 will retire and eliminate from the balance 10 sheet of the company about \$176 million of 11 indebtedness to begin with, number one. 12 secondly, and perhaps more importantly, with 13 the cash sweep that Mr. McCarthy described 14 over the term of the transaction, it will 15 significantly de-lever the company. 16 that's one of the real major benefits of 17 this transaction. It will finally allow us 18 to start doing that. 19 MR. VOWELS: Because way back -- I 20 mean, when we went through the licensing 21 process in Gary, and Commissioner Bochnowski, 22 who is not here today, that was one of her 23 concerns way back then, those of you who 24 were around for that, she spoke about the



company being leveraged. And I wish she was

1 here today, because I know she would have
2 some things to say about it. But we have
3 what we have.

Is there anything further before we address the Resolution? Miss Walker, I understand that you're moving up and beyond. Will we ever see you?

MS. WALKER: I probably will be leaving within the next month or two, but, yes, you will certainly get to see me again. You're not that lucky.

MR. VOWELS: And this is a positive career step for you, I understand?

MS. WALKER: Yes.

MR. VOWELS: Well, I would just like to thank you for the time that we've known each other, and, you know, we talked earlier, in particular with Trump, about the WBE and this and that, and, as a female, and from what we've seen over the years, a lot of guys in here -- I remember sitting in a meeting somewhere and Ann Bochnowski was sitting next to me, and she leaned over, and all these guys in their suits in the front row, and said how much do you think all

1 those shoes are worth in the front row? And 2 I said more than my car. 3 So it's nice to see a female who has 4 risen to the ranks that you have. And I 5 know that it's because of the substance and 6 merit, so I applaud the fact that they had 7 enough sense to promote you. and you get to 8 do what you get to do. So we thank you for 9 your professionalism and the time that you've 10 been in front of us, and when we came to 11 visit your boat. So congratulations to you. 12 MS. WALKER: Thank you very much. 13 MR. VOWELS: Anything further then, 14 before we look at this resolution? 15 Resolution 2003-10 concerns financing 16 by Trump, Inc., and comes in two parts. The 17 first part is to approve or disapprove their 18 request for the waiver of the two meeting 19 rule. The second part to approve or 20 disprove the company for refinancing. Is 21 there a motion in reference to that? 22 MR. GETTELFINGER: I move to waive 23 the meeting rule and move to approve the 24 refinancing transaction. 25 MR. VOWELS: Is there a second?



1 MS. ROSE: I will second that. 2 MR. VOWELS: Any further discussion? 3 All those in favor say aye. 4 COMMISSION: Aye. 5 MR. VOWELS: It is approved. Thank 6 you. 7 Then we're up to 2003-11. I will 8 note that we received this in the mail, and 9 it seems -- the sticky note I have on mine, 10 paragraph three is changed in 2003-11. And, 11 Miss Dean, this is with you? 12 MS. DEAN: Yes, it is. You have 13 before you Resolution 2003-11. Pinnacle is 14 here today seeking your approval of a debt 15 offering of up to \$250 million, for a period 16 of up to five years, at an interest rate not 17 to exceed 9 percent. Ron Gifford and Jack 18 Godfrey are here representing Pinnacle, and 19 I'm sure they will do a wonderful job 20 answering any questions you may have. 21 MR. GIFFORD: We will try our best, 22 or we will get names of people who can. 23 This is Jack Godfrey, general counsel 24 for Pinnacle Entertainment. As our request 25 for approval suggested, this transaction is

in connection with Pinnacle's design,

development and construction of a resort

hotel and casino in Lake Charles, Louisiana.

We're on the front end of working with banks

and putting together the syndicate. It's

come along quite far.

Our concern is we think that this

will close sometime around March, we were concerned that the next meeting of the commission not being until the middle of March, so we wanted to try to get this before the commission now. I understand there are some conditions regarding final staff approval, obviously, of the final documents, perhaps financial analysis by Dr. Sullivan, all of which is perfectly appropriate and acceptable from our perspective.

Some of the terms, as Miss Dean just indicated, are up to we think that the amount of the debt will probably be around the \$250 million level, maybe a little bit less. We would hope that the rate would be lower. But given the uncertainties in the market, and not knowing whether we may be



1 engaged in who knows what between now and 2 then, we wanted to build in a little 3 flexibility in that approval perspective. 4 MR. VOWELS: There was a change in 5 the draft in what we received today, 6 paragraph two, that last sentence. Now it's 7 up to \$250 million for a period up to five 8 years with an interest rate not to exceed 9 9 percent. Before that, it was \$225 million 10 for a period of four and a half to five 11 years, with an interest rate of LIBOR plus 12 350 basis points, so we're talking about a 9 13 percent interest rate at the top end? 14 MR. GIFFORD: Right. 15 MR. VOWELS: And no basis points? 16 MR. GIFFORD: That would include the 17 basis points. We expect that it will be 18 based on LIBOR plus so many basis points. 19 And that would be the end result, the 20 maximum end result. Hopefully it will be 21 less. But it will still be a LIBOR -- or it 22 will be a floating rate. And that's one of 23 the reasons we needed some flexibility. 24 MR. VOWELS: Any thoughts on that? 25 All right them. This Resolution 2003-11 also



1 requests a waiver of the two meeting rule. 2 Should this resolution be in two parts, like 3 the last one was or not? 4 MS. DEAN: It should. 5 MR. VOWELS: Okay. So there should 6 be a two -- I'm sorry. 7 MR. GETTELFINGER: Mr. Chairman, a 8 question. Will the final consideration of 9 this, if it's necessary before our next 10 regularly scheduled meeting, will that come 11 before our arrangement where the chairman, 12 Mr. Lawrence and myself review this? Does 13 this policy apply? Will that policy apply 14 to this transaction? 15 MR. LAWRENCE: I would think so, but 16 if you so deem I would think so. 17 MR. GETTELFINGER: Well, I just 18 wanted -- it seems to me this comes under 19 that new regime. 20 MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah, they're coming 21 in early, which we certainly appreciate, 22 rather than at the last minute. So they're 23 giving us advance notice, and then they will 24 bring forth the official documentation, and I 25 think at that time it will be appropriate

1 for the three of us to discuss it. 2 MR. GETTELFINGER: So with that said 3 then, is this waiver of -- what is the state 4 -- what is the statement of the waiver in 5 view of this review that will be made later? 6 MS. DEAN: The waiver that the 7 executive director will grant under the 8 earlier resolution that actually was 9 envisioned to be a different procedure where 10 the debt offering would come into the office 11 first, and be reviewed by the executive 12 director, and then go to the chair, and to 13 the CPA for review and granting a waiver, 14 and then come back to the commission. Here 15 they have asked for commission approval, 16 intending to waive the two meeting rule. 17 And I apologize I did not get that into the 18 resolution, although they have asked for that 19 waiver of the two meeting rule, but actually 20 commission approval today, subject to review 21 of the documents by staff, and the 22 possibility of a financial analysis should 23 the commissioner or staff direct. 24 MR. GETTELFINGER: I quess my point 25 is, is a waiver of the two meeting rule



Gaming Commission Business Meeting

```
1
    necessary? And I guess that's my bottom
 2
    line question on this issue.
 3
            MS. DEAN: If we proceed as we have
 4
    in the past with commissioner approval, yes,
 5
    it would be.
 6
            MR. GETTELFINGER: Okay. One last
 7
    time, what would then be our approval process
 8
    as we go through this?
 9
            MS. DEAN: This one would go through
10
    the same approval process that has occurred
11
    in the past. In the future, on debt
12
    transactions that would come in, we would
13
    have the ability to --
14
            MR. GETTELFINGER: Well, I -- please
15
    go ahead, now I understand. The lightbulb
16
    just went off. Go ahead, finish your
17
    sentence.
18
            MR. LAWRENCE: No, if she won, she
19
    stops.
20
            MR. GETTELFINGER: Sorry the
21
    lightbulb went off so brightly.
22
            MS. DEAN: We would have the ability
23
    to waive it at the executive director's
24
    level, and then report back to the
25
    commission.
```



1 MR. GETTELFINGER: Thank you. 2 MR. VOWELS: So then, is there 3 anything further then? 4 MR. GETTELFINGER: No. Thank you. 5 MR. VOWELS: In 2003-11 you will have 6 another resolution that will include that 7 waiver language, is that right? 8 MS. DEAN: Yes. 9 MR. VOWELS: Before we leave here 10 today? 11 MS. DEAN: Yes. 12 MR. VOWELS: So with that in mind --13 I quess just like we did in 2003-10, where 14 it was in two parts to approve the waiver 15 request then -- and to approve or disapprove 16 the request of Pinnacle for their credit 17 facility. With those two things in mind, is 18 there a motion? 19 MR. GETTELFINGER: I move we waive 20 the two meeting rule, and that we approve 21 the refinancing request. 22 MR. VOWELS: Is there a second? 23 MR. MILCAREK: Second. 24 MR. VOWELS: Any further discussion? 25 All those in favor say aye.

1 COMMISSION: Aye. 2 MR. VOWELS: Show it approved. 3 then, Miss Dean, that takes care of you? 4 MS. DEAN: Yes, it does. 5 MR. VOWELS: And then under other 6 business, Mr. Lawrence you have something 7 there? 8 MR. LAWRENCE: Yes, Mr. Chairman, 9 members of the commission, I have a couple 10 of folks I want to introduce to you. 11 First off we have a new employee, not 12 necessarily -- been here a little while. 13 His full name is Marvin Glen Lloyd. He used 14 to go by Marvin when he started here 15 September 2nd, but when I came in in 16 October, he changed and asked to be called 17 Glen from now on. 18 So we now have a new employee from 19 September, Glen Lloyd, who is a retiree from 20 the Internal Revenue Service. He retired, 21 and took some time off and played a little 22 golf until his wife told him he needed to 23 start paying for the golf balls he was 24 losing, so he has come to see us. And, as I 25 understand it, he wants to emulate the comb

1 over of Gene Keady. So this is one of our 2 new employees, Glen Lloyd. 3 MR. LLOYD: How much time do I have? 4 MR. LAWRENCE: You just had it. 5 MR. LLOYD: I just want to say I'm 6 assigned the north boat, the Majestic Star, 7 and I enjoy my job. And, most of all, I 8 enjoy working with the employees with the 9 Indiana Gaming Commission. I was going to 10 do a demonstration but with the time I will 11 cut that out. Thank you. 12 MR. LAWRENCE: You're welcome. 13 Another person with us today, a new appointee 14 of the Governor to the Indiana Department of 15 Gaming Research, is Joe Koenig. Joe's in 16 the back. 17 He started his employment on January 18 2nd. He's a six-term prosecutor from 19 Bartholomew County, and has hit -- has had 20 to hit the ground running, because the 21 legislature is going to be asking him the 22 impact of gaming in all areas of the like in 23 the State of Indiana, and they're going to



utilize Joe's expertise and numbers to make

some decisions across the street over the

24

1 next four months. 2 He is now housed at the criminal 3 justice institute, using some of their folks, 4 and using the money that the riverboats have 5 so graciously given to us to support his efforts. How many have paid so far, Joe? 6 7 MR. KOENIG: Three. 8 MR. LAWRENCE: Three? Those of you 9 who have, thank you very much. Those of you 10 who haven't, I know who you are. Also the 11 race tracks are required to kick in \$25,000 12 each. So, Joe, you have the floor if you 13 care to say anything. MR. KOENIG: I learned in the first 14 15 week on the job, first full week, that this 16 department of gaming research has nothing to 17 do with tromping around the woods looking for 18 deer and other wild game, and doing studies.

I started a week ago, and the history of this department is that special session of the last general assembly this department of gaming research was created. I am the first appointee to the executive director. I started last week. I'm trying to learn my

way around, meet people.

19

20

21

22

23

24

But ultimately the focus of this department, which is mine to establish under the direction of the Governor, is to do research and policy analysis with regard to any and all aspects of gaming in Indiana, and specifically the statute that asks that the research issues, or other policy issues that are deemed appropriate, be referred from the gaming commission, the lottery, horse racing commission, and the department of the tax people on charitable gaming, your bingo, in the State of Indiana.

So I am in the process of meeting people, learning my way around. But ultimately, by statute, if there are issues that come before this commission that you deem worthy of review and analysis, I'm the contact person. And Glenn and his staff have been gracious in my first few days on the job.

MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Joe.

Finally, I would also like to introduce you to the new executive director of the Indiana Casino Association, Mike "Smitty" Smith.

He's been on the job just a little bit less

```
1
    time than I, but much longer than Joe.
                                              So,
2
    he's got half as much -- well, stop right
 3
    there. Smitty and I have been communicating,
4
    and he and -- so, Smitty if you would like
5
    to say anything.
6
            MR. SMITH: Yeah, I would like to
7
    thank you. This is my first commission
8
    meeting, and I would say that I have to
9
    compliment you on the promptness. Where I
10
    come from, the other side of the government,
11
    we operated on legislative time, and it was
12
    always late. And it was kind of refreshing
13
    to see a meeting start on time.
14
            And I too would like to echo Joe's
15
    comments about the staff, and trying to bring
16
    me up to speed in some of the issues and
17
    things that are taking place in our
18
    community, and I look forward very much to
19
    working with you.
20
            MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you. Mr.
21
    Chairman, that's all I have under other
22
    business.
23
            MR. VOWELS: Thank you, Mr. Lawrence.
24
    Also under other business we received some
25
    documents from the Hyatt Development
```

1 Corporation, and also from the attorneys for 2 Rising Sun, in reference to an ongoing 3 situation they have down there. We received 4 the documents and we reviewed those 5 documents.

There was a request, I believe earlier this week or so, to have this on the agenda. The executive director made the determination to deny the request to place it on the agenda. He and I spoke about this the other day, when we received the documents.

There was a request of the representatives of Rising Sun, essentially, that the commission intervene at this time about the negotiations between Grand Vic and Rising Sun. There was some discussion about mediators and arbitrators and all of that.

But, as you know, during the licensing process this commission was involved in being certain that certain agreements were reached, and we encouraged those agreements between the local entities and the licensees. And, as we used to tell them, you guys can either figure it out

1 yourself. Or the legislature is going to 2 step in and figure it out for you, and I 3 don't believe that's something that you would 4 want. 5 As far as where the commission stands 6 at this time, we heavily encourage both sides 7 to take serious their duty, and take 8 seriously the commission's interest in the 9 resolution of this. 10 What I propose that we do is, we keep 11 an eye on this, and our next meeting is 12 scheduled for March 14th, 2003, here, and 13 what I would like to do is put that on the 14 agenda, and have representatives from Rising 15 Sun and Grand Vic address the commission 16 about what the status and the progress would 17 be, and, hopefully, we won't have to get 18 involved, because they will have come to 19 terms on that, and everyone will live happily 20 ever after. So I think that pretty much 21 sums up our position, does it not, Mr. 22 Lawrence? 23 MR. LAWRENCE: Yes, Mr. Chairman, 24 with the hope that they would be here to 25 advise us of the resolution of that, not

just to come together and talk to us about 2 the issue. My hope would be in the next six 3 weeks that the issues could be resolved, 4 either by themselves or with an independent 5 party.

MR. VOWELS: Okay. Any of the other commissioners have any thoughts on that? All right. So I don't know whether it will be on the agenda next time, but definitely this issue will be on the agenda and we will at least get an update from the parties, so we know where it stands, and hopefully it will work out. And these things usually do. Litigation is good for some of us, but it's always best to avoid that sort of thing. All right.

Is there anything further? Our next meeting, as I said, is March 14th, 2003, which will be 10:00 a.m. local time in this very room.

There is a notice of business meetings that has been put together, that lists out meetings for the rest of the year. Everything is here except for the September 12th meeting, that will be at Caesar's.



1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

		Gaming Commission Business Meeting	89
1		Is there a motion to adjourn?	
2		MR. MILCAREK: So moved.	
3		MR. VOWELS: Second?	
4		MR. GETTELFINGER: Second.	
5		MR. VOWELS: All those in favor say	
6	aye.		
7		COMMISSION: Aye.	
8		MR. VOWELS: Meeting is adjourned.	
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			



1	STATE OF INDIANA
2	SS:
3	COUNTY OF MARION
4	I, Peggy Morgan, a Notary Public in
5	and for the County of Hendricks, State of
6	Indiana at large, do hereby certify that the
7	foregoing business meeting was taken down in
8	stenograph notes and afterwards reduced to
9	typewriting under my direction, and that the
10	typewritten transcript is a true record of
11	the business meeting.
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
13	set my hand and affixed my notarial seal
14	this 24th day of January, 2003.
15	
16	
17	
18	·
19	Peggy Morgan, Notary Public
20	My Commission Expires:
21	December 16, 2006
22	County of Residence: Hendricks
23	
24	
25	

